

8<sup>th</sup> June 2011 Planning Committee – Additional Representations

Applications on behalf of the South Downs National Park Authority

| Agenda Page | Site Address                                   | Application No. | Comment   |
|-------------|--|-----------------|---|
|             | Northfield<br>University of<br>Sussex Brighton | BH2011/00358    | Existing Condition 14 should be deleted and replaced with the following to provide additional control over the type of cycle parking facilities to be provided:<br>'The development hereby permitted shall not be commenced until the details of the secure cycle parking facilities for the occupants of, and visitors to, the development hereby approved have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These facilities shall be fully implemented and made available for use prior to the occupation of the development hereby permitted and shall thereafter be retained for use at all times.<br><b>Reason:</b> To ensure that satisfactory facilities for the parking of cycles are provided and to encourage travel by means other than private motor vehicles and to comply with policy TR14 of the Brighton & Hove Local Plan.' |

Applications as Local Planning Authority

| Plans List Page | Site Address  | Application No.                | Comment  |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| 7 & 28          | The British<br>Engineerium,<br>The Droveaway,<br>Hove | BH2011/00228 &<br>BH2011/00229 | Additional representations have been received from <b>48 Grange Road, 3 Medina Terrace &amp; 7 Stoneham Road</b> <u>objecting</u> to the proposal for the following reasons:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposal would be too visible from the park, would detract from listed buildings and would be better placed in the scrub nursery;</li> <li>• The plans will cause unnecessary disruption to a badger sett;</li> <li>• Deep drilling could wreck the eco-system of the park and the Engineerium and destroy animal inhabitants;</li> <li>• Consider that consultation should have included residents on Nevill Road and Goldstone Crescent.</li> </ul> <b>Response:</b> The issues raised are addressed in the considerations section of the report.<br>A sample of the proposed roof and wall cladding materials have now been viewed on site and a further assessment on the impact of the proposed workshop extension |

|         |                                       |                                   |  |
|---------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
|         |                                       |                                   | <p>has taken place.<br/>The following comments have been received from the <b>Design &amp; Conservation Team</b>:-<br/>The colours now specified are appropriate and the materials, as submitted, are considered acceptable for the lower less apparent and more remote single storey building, which would be viewed in the context of the industrial sheds on the adjacent depot site.<br/>The proposed two-storey workshop extension has a much closer association with the existing buildings. There remain concerns (<i>as outlined in the Committee report</i>) that the proposed materials would not provide the desired quality finish or appropriate aesthetic. The metal roofs and smooth cladding, despite the close colour match, have a sheen which would draw attention to this building. In comparison to the slates on the existing buildings have a natural matt finish, with natural weathering properties<br/><b>Response:</b> The proposed cladding samples will be available at the Planning Committee site visit. The material samples will also be taken to the next Conservation Advisory Group meeting on 7 June. The agent for the application has also been invited to respond on the finish of the proposed materials.</p> |
| 37 & 52 | The Elms,<br>The Green<br>Rottingdean | BH2011/000365 and<br>BH2011/00036 | Comments received from <b>English Heritage</b> on amended drawings:<br>The drawings seem to address previous concerns. Officers have obviously been working hard with the applicant on the design.   |
| 66 & 86 | 40-40a Bristol<br>Gardens             | BH2010/03333 &<br>BH2010/03334    | Additional details/justification regarding choice of materials received from Agent.<br>No additional officer comment.  |
| 120     | Cinderford,<br>Cornwall Gardens       | BH2011/00954                      | Amend informative no. 1 to read:<br>This decision is based on unnumbered drawings, photos and door manufacturer's brochure received on 29 <sup>th</sup> March 2011.  |

NB. Representations received after midday the Friday before the date of the Committee meeting will not be reported (Sub-Committee resolution of 23 February 2005).